



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Am

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/254,119	04/16/99	TATSUMI	K 52433/545

MM92/0705

EDWARD W GREASON
KENYON & KENYON
ONE BROADWAY
NEW YORK NY 10004

EXAMINER

CHAMBLISS, A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2814

DATE MAILED: 07/05/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/254,119

Applicant(s)

TATSUMI ET AL.

Examiner

Alonzo Chambliss

Art Unit

2814

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 May 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:
1. ☐ received.
2. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) _____.
3. ☐ received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 7-15 have been canceled.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in 09/254,119 on 4/16/99. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Election/Restriction

3. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-6 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.
Claims 7-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: " SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE PROVIDED WITH LOW MELTING POINT METAL BUMPS ".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

7. In Claim 1, the phrase " low melting point metal ball " is vague and indefinite since it is not clear what the low melting point is relative to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102((e), f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 4-6, **insofar as some of them can be understood**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atsuhiko (JP 6-333930) in view of Kloeser et al. (U.S. 5,833,128).

With respect to Claim 1, Atsuhiko teaches electrodes 2 formed on a semiconductor chip 1. Spherical metal balls 6 have a given size, which are located on the electrodes 2. An adhesive 7 is bonded to the electrodes 2. Atsuhiko does not teach a low melting metal ball. However, Kloeser teaches a bump 18 made of a gold-tin material with a flux 17 bonded between the bump 18 and the electrode 14 (see col.3

Art Unit: 2814

lines 38 and 39; Fig. 6). Therefore, the bump taught by Kloeser has a low melting point material, which would facilitate adhesion to the flux material because of its wettable properties. With respect to Claim 2, the low melting metal balls 6 are adhesive bonded to the electrodes 2 with a flux 7 (see English abstract and figures). With respect to Claim 3, the electrodes 2 are formed from an electrode material of Cu (see col. 3 line 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102((e), f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claims 4-6, **insofar as some of them can be understood**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atuhiko (JP 6-333930) as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Hisao (JP 59-148352).

Atsuhiko does not teach an electrode comprising a layer of an electrode material composed of Al or Al alloy and at least one metal layer of Cu or Cu alloy laminated to the electrode material layer and having a melting point higher than the electrode

material. A laminated layer in contact with the electrode material layer of Cu or Cu alloy and at least one layer farthest from the point metal ball formed of Cu.

With respect to Claims 4 and 5, Hisao teaches an electrode 3,4,6 comprising a layer of an electrode material composed of Al or Al alloy layer 3 and at least one metal layer of Cu or Cu alloy layer 4 laminated to the electrode material layer and having a melting point higher than the electrode material. With respect to Claim 6, a laminated layer 6 in contact with the electrode material layer of Cu or Cu alloy and at least one layer farthest from the point metal ball formed of Cu (see English abstract and figures). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the electrode of Hisao with the product of the Atsuhiko to improve the workability and reduce the working cost of the semiconductor device.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. It is cited primarily to show the product of the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alonzo Chambliss whose telephone number is (703) 306-9143. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722 or 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7956.


Application/Control Number: 09/254,119

Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

AC

AC/June 29, 2000



JOHN GUAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER